Scribe Note: Open Domain Question Answering Using Early Fusion of Knowledge Bases and Text

Presenter: Bill Zhang, Scribe: Ji Gao

June 1, 2019

1 Research Question

Research question: Finding answers to open domain questions in natural language.

Difficulties:

- Large amount of data
- Hard to combine the information from knowledge bases and text articles

2 Overview Figure



Figure 1: Left: To answer a question posed in natural language, GRAFT-Net considers a heterogeneous graph constructed from text and KB facts, and thus can leverage the rich relational structure between the two information sources. **Right:** Embeddings are propagated in the graph for a fixed number of layers (*L*) and the final node representations are used to classify answers.

3 Method Overview

- Overview:
 - Use graph structures to represent Knowledge base, and combine text articles into the graph
 - Effectively select a subgraph for a particular input.
 - Learn a heterogeneous graph network to represent the graph

- Before learning: Prepare a knowledge base. Also, create an article dataset from Wikipedia.
- Train a pair of question q and answer a in two steps:
 - 1. Extract a subgraph $\mathcal{G}_q \subset \mathcal{G}$ which contains the answer to the question with high probability.
 - 2. Use proposed model **GRAFT-Net** to learn graph representations in \mathcal{G}_q , and do the classification

4 GRAFT-Net

- In one sentence: A large Inductive Graph Recurrent model with multiple heterogeneous embedded inputs.
- Setting:
 - Knowledge Base is a graph where $\mathcal{K} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$. \mathcal{V} is the set of vertices. \mathcal{E} is the set of edges. \mathcal{R} is the set of relations, which is a property of every edge.
 - Articles $\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, d_2..d_D\}$, where each document is a sequence of words $d_i = w_{d_1}, w_{d_2}, ..., w_{d_{|d|}}$.
 - Query q: a sequence of words $w_{q_1}, w_{q_2}, ..., w_{q_{|q|}}$.
 - Answer $\{a\}$: a set of entities.
 - Problem: Give $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{D}, q)$, find a

• Subgraph(Input to the GRAFT-Net):

A graph represents by triplet $\mathcal{G}_q = (\mathcal{V}_q, \mathcal{E}_q, \mathcal{R}^+).$

- $-\mathcal{V}_q$ includes two parts:
 - 1. A subset of \mathcal{V} , retrieved from top-K PageRank in \mathcal{K} .
 - 2. A subset of \mathcal{D} , retrieved by bag-of-word model and search engine.
- \mathcal{E}_q is generally edges between \mathcal{V}_q :
 - 1. Subgraph of \mathcal{V}_q in \mathcal{K} . That is, $(s, o, r) \in \mathcal{E} : s, o \in \mathcal{V}_q, r \in \mathcal{R}$
 - 2. Links between documents and entities in \mathcal{V}_q , represents by (v, d, r_L) : $v \in \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{V}_q, d \in \mathcal{V}_q$, and r_L is a special relation represents a link between document and entity.

 $-\mathcal{R}^+ = R \cup \{r_L\}$

• Key equation: Updating rule for entity vertices in the graph \mathcal{G}_p

$$h_{v}^{(l)} = \text{FFN}\left(\begin{bmatrix} h_{v}^{(l-1)} \\ h_{q}^{(l-1)} \\ \Sigma_{r} \Sigma_{v' \in N_{r}(v)} \alpha_{r}^{v'} \psi_{r}(h_{v'}^{(l-1)}) \\ \Sigma_{(d,p) \in M(v)} H_{d,p}^{(l-1)} \end{bmatrix} \right)$$
(1)

- Explanation of Equation 1 :
 - **FFN**: feed-forward network, i.e., $FFN(x) = \sigma(Wx + b)$ similar to other recurrent structure. The weights are shared among vertices.
 - -l: A number indicate current step in recurrent neural network. It keeps increasing from 0 to L.
 - $-h_v$: The hidden state of entity v. $h_v^{(0)}$ is initialized either randomly or with some knowledge base embedding.
 - $-h_q$: The hidden state of whole query. $h_q^{(0)}$ is initialized with an LSTM. h_q is then updated with the combination of entities mentioned in the question.

$$h_q^{(l)} = FFN(\sum_{v \in S_q} h_v^{(l)}) \tag{2}$$

- Words in documents $H_{d,p}$: The hidden state of a document is the combination over hidden states of every words in the document, and is uppdated using a different recurrent network synchronously. Each word is updated with the hidden state of linking entities.

$$H_{d,p}^{(l)} = \text{FFN}(H_{d,p}^{(l-1)}, \sum_{v \in L(d,p)} h_v^{(l-1)})$$
(3)

- Aggregate Neighbors: The third term in Equation 1 aggregates the neighbor hidden states.
 - * Relations r: Each relation is modeled using a relation vector x_r
 - * $a_r^{v'}$ is an attention weight, which is calculated by the query hidden state h_q and relation embeddings $a_r^{v'} = \operatorname{softmax}(x_r^T h_q^{(l-1)})$
 - * ψ_r is a weighted function:

$$\psi_r(h_{v'}^{(l-1)}) = pr_{v'}^{(l-1)} \text{FFN}(x_r, h_{v'}^{(l-1)})$$
(4)

* $pr_{v'}$ is a scalar weight calculated using PageRank.

$$pr_v^{(0)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|S_q|}, & \text{if } v \in S_q \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$pr_{v}^{(l)} = (1 - \lambda)pr_{v}^{(l-1)} + \lambda \Sigma_{r} \Sigma_{v' \in N_{r}(v)} \alpha_{r}^{v'} pr_{v'}^{(l-1)}$$

• Output of GRAFT-Net:

.

After l iterations, a probability over all entities is calculated by

$$Pr(v \in \{a\}_q | \mathcal{G}_q, q) = \sigma(w^T h_v^{(l)} + b)$$

5 Evaluation:

5.1 Dataset

WikiMovies-10K and WebQuestionsSP.

• WikiMovies-10K is a subset of dataset WikiMovies[1] containing questions on movies.

Knowledge Base and Document corpus is gathered from Wikipedia by [1].

• WebQuestionsSP[2] collects 4737 questions over *Freebase* entities.

5.2 Models in comparison

- KV-KB: Key Value Memory Networks[1] on only KB input.
- KV-EF: Key Value Memory Networks with both KB and text.
- GN-KB: GRAFT-Net only on KB.
- GN-LF: late-fusion GRAFT-Net, trained on text/KB seperately and then combine.
- GN-EF: Main model.
- GN-EF+LF: Ensemble over GN-EF and GN-LF.

5.3 Result

1. Get best result with full knowledge base on GN-EF+LF model, as in Table 2.



Table 2: Left: Hits@1 / F1 scores of GRAFT-Nets (GN) compared to KV-MemNN (KV) in KB only (-KB), early fusion (-EF), and late fusion (-LF) settings. **Right:** Improvement of early fusion (-EF) and late fusion (-LF) over KB only (-KB) settings as KB completeness increases.

- 2. Get comparable result to state-of-the-art with only text or KB.
- 3. Without heterogeneous update the performance is worse.

References

- Alexander Miller, Adam Fisch, Jesse Dodge, Amir-Hossein Karimi, Antoine Bordes, and Jason Weston. Key-value memory networks for directly reading documents. arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.03126, 2016.
- [2] Wen-tau Yih, Matthew Richardson, Chris Meek, Ming-Wei Chang, and Jina Suh. The value of semantic parse labeling for knowledge base question answering. In Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers), volume 2, pages 201– 206, 2016.