

# LanczosNet: Multi-Scale Deep Graph Convolutional Networks

Credit: Renjie Liao, Zhizhen Zhao, Raquel Urtasun, Richard S. Zemel

<https://qdata.github.io/deep2Read>

Presenter: Ryan McCampbell

<https://qdata.github.io/deep2Read>

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Background
- 3 LanczosNet
- 4 AdaLanczosNet
- 5 Experiments
- 6 Conclusions

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Background
- 3 LanczosNet
- 4 AdaLanczosNet
- 5 Experiments
- 6 Conclusions

Two main issues with current GCN approaches

- 1 How to efficiently leverage multi-scale information
  - Graph coarsening - fixed process
  - Powers of graph Laplacian - expensive
- 2 Spectral filters are mostly fixed
  - Learning filters can produce more useful representations

# Introduction

Idea:

- Use low-rank approximation of graph Laplacian
  - Enables efficient computation of matrix powers for multi-scale information
- Design learnable spectral filters

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Background**
- 3 LanczosNet
- 4 AdaLanczosNet
- 5 Experiments
- 6 Conclusions

# Graph Fourier Transform

- Graph Laplacian  $L = D - A$ ,  $L = I - D^{-1}A$ , or  $L = I - D^{-\frac{1}{2}}AD^{-\frac{1}{2}}$
- Affinity matrix  $S = D^{-\frac{1}{2}}AD^{-\frac{1}{2}}$
- Spectral decomposition  $S = U\Lambda U^T$
- Graph Fourier Transform  $Y = U^T X$  and  $\hat{X} = UY$
- We can filter in the spectral domain

# Localized Polynomial Filter

- $\tau$ -localized polynomial filter:

$$g_w(\Lambda) = \sum_{t=0}^{\tau-1} w_t \Lambda^t$$

- Leverages information from nodes  $\leq \tau$  hops away
- More general form:

$$Y = \sum_{t=0}^{\tau-1} g_t(S, \dots, S^t, X) W_t$$

- Krylov subspace  $\mathcal{K}_t(S, x) = \text{span}\{x, Sx, \dots, S^{t-1}x\}$

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Background
- 3 LanczosNet**
- 4 AdaLanczosNet
- 5 Experiments
- 6 Conclusions

# Lanczos Algorithm

- Given affinity matrix  $S$  and node features  $x$ , the  $N$ -step Lanczos algorithm computes orthogonal  $Q$  and symmetric tridiagonal  $T$  with  $Q^T S Q = T$ .

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 & \beta_1 & & & \\ \beta_1 & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \beta_{N-1} & \\ & & \beta_{N-1} & \gamma_N & \end{bmatrix}$$

- $Q$  forms orthogonal basis of  $\mathcal{K}_N(S, x)$
- First  $K$  cols of  $Q$  form orthogonal basis of  $\mathcal{K}_K(S, x)$

---

**Algorithm 1** : Lanczos Algorithm

---

- 1: **Input:**  $S, x, K, \epsilon$
  - 2: **Initialization:**  $\beta_0 = 0, q_0 = 0$ , and  $q_1 = x/\|x\|$
  - 3: **For**  $j = 1, 2, \dots, K$ :
  - 4:      $z = Sq_j$
  - 5:      $\gamma_j = q_j^\top z$
  - 6:      $z = z - \gamma_j q_j - \beta_{j-1} q_{j-1}$
  - 7:      $\beta_j = \|z\|_2$
  - 8:     **If**  $\beta_j < \epsilon$ , quit
  - 9:      $q_{j+1} = z/\beta_j$
  - 10:
  - 11:  $Q = [q_1, q_2, \dots, q_K]$
  - 12: Construct  $T$  following Eq. (2)
  - 13: Eigen decomposition  $T = BRB^\top$
  - 14: Return  $V = QB$  and  $R$ .
-

# Localized polynomial filter

- Run Lanczos for  $K$  steps starting with  $X_i$  to compute orthonormal basis  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{K}_K(S, X_i)$

$$Y_j = Qw_{i,j}$$

- $Q$  depends on  $X_i$ : separate run of Lanczos is needed for each graph convolution layer
- Ideally, we want to only compute Lanczos once during inference on a graph

# Spectral Filter

Alternate view:

- Choose random starting vector  $x$
- Treat  $K$  step Lanczos output as low-rank approximation  $S \approx QTQ^T$
- Decompose tridiagonal matrix into Ritz values  $T = BRB^T$ 
  - $R$  is diagonal: approximation of eigenvalues
- $S \approx VRV^T$  where  $V = QB$
- Rewrite graph convolution as

$$Y_j = [X_i, SX_i, \dots, S^{K-1}X_i]w_{i,j} \approx [X_i, VRV^T X_i, \dots, VR^{K-1}V^T X_i]w_{i,j}$$

# Learning the Spectral Filter

- Use  $K$  different spectral filters with  $k$ th output  
 $\hat{R}(k) = f_k([R^1, \dots, R^{K-1}])$ , where  $f_k$  is MLP

$$Y_j = [X_i, V\hat{R}(1)V^T X_i, \dots, V\hat{R}(K-1)V^T X_i]w_{i,j}$$

# Multi-Scale Graph Convolution

$$Y = [L^{\mathcal{S}_1}X, \dots, L^{\mathcal{S}_M}X, V\hat{R}(\mathcal{I}_1)V^T X, \dots, V\hat{R}(\mathcal{I}_N)V^T X]W$$

- $\mathcal{S}$ : Short-scale parameters, e.g. 0, ..., 5
- $\mathcal{I}$ : Long-scale parameters, e.g. 10, 20, ..., 50

---

**Algorithm 2** : LanczosNet

---

- 1: **Input:** Signal  $X$ , Lanczos output  $V$  and  $R$ , scale index sets  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{I}$ ,
  - 2: **Initialization:**  $Y_0 = X$
  - 3: **For**  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, \ell_c$ :
  - 4:      $Z = Y_{\ell-1}$ ,  $\mathcal{Z} = \{\emptyset\}$
  - 5:     **For**  $j = 1, 2, \dots, \max(\mathcal{S})$ :
  - 6:          $Z = SZ$
  - 7:         **If**  $j \in \mathcal{S}$ :
  - 8:              $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z} \cup Z$
  - 9:     **For**  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ :
  - 10:          $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z} \cup V \hat{R}(\mathcal{I}_i) V^\top Y_{\ell-1}$
  - 11:      $Y_\ell = \text{concat}(\mathcal{Z}) W_\ell$
  - 12:     **If**  $\ell < L$
  - 13:          $Y_\ell = \text{Dropout}(\sigma(Y_\ell))$
  - 14: **Return**  $Y_{\ell_c}$ .
-

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Background
- 3 LanczosNet
- 4 AdaLanczosNet**
- 5 Experiments
- 6 Conclusions

- Back-propagate through Lanczos algorithm
- Facilitates learning graph kernel and/or node embeddings

# Graph Kernel

- Learnable anisotropic graph kernel

$$k(x_i, x_j) = \exp\left(\frac{-\|f_\theta(x_i) - f_\theta(x_j)\|^2}{\epsilon}\right)$$

- $f_\theta$  is an MLP
- We can construct adjacency matrix  $A_{i,j} = k(x_i, x_j)$  if  $(i, j) \in \mathcal{E}$  and 0 otherwise
- Use to define affinity matrix  $S = D^{-\frac{1}{2}}AD^{-\frac{1}{2}}$
- We can discard  $f$  to learn node embeddings on  $X$

# Tridiagonal Decomposition

- Backpropagation through the eigendecomposition of tridiagonal matrix is unstable
- Instead directly use approximation  $S = QTQ^T$

$$Y = [L^{S_1}X, \dots, L^{S_M}X, Qf_1(T^{I_1})Q^T X, \dots, Qf_N(T^{I_N})Q^T X]W$$

- $f_i$  is learnable filter

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Background
- 3 LanczosNet
- 4 AdaLanczosNet
- 5 Experiments**
- 6 Conclusions

# Citation Networks

Cora	GCN-FP	GGNN	DCNN	ChebyNet	GCN	MPNN	GraphSAGE	GAT	LNet	AdaLNet
Public	74.6 ± 0.7	77.6 ± 1.7	79.7 ± 0.8	78.0 ± 1.2	80.5 ± 0.8	78.0 ± 1.1	74.5 ± 0.8	<b>82.6 ± 0.7</b>	79.5 ± 1.8	80.4 ± 1.1
3%	71.7 ± 2.4	73.1 ± 2.3	76.7 ± 2.5	62.1 ± 6.7	74.0 ± 2.8	72.0 ± 4.6	64.2 ± 4.0	56.8 ± 7.9	76.3 ± 2.3	<b>77.7 ± 2.4</b>
1%	59.6 ± 6.5	60.5 ± 7.1	66.4 ± 8.2	44.2 ± 5.6	61.0 ± 7.2	56.7 ± 5.9	49.0 ± 5.8	48.6 ± 8.0	66.1 ± 8.2	<b>67.5 ± 8.7</b>
0.5%	50.5 ± 6.0	48.2 ± 5.7	59.0 ± 10.7	33.9 ± 5.0	52.9 ± 7.4	46.5 ± 7.5	37.5 ± 5.4	41.4 ± 6.9	58.1 ± 8.2	<b>60.8 ± 9.0</b>
Citeseer	GCN-FP	GGNN	DCNN	ChebyNet	GCN	MPNN	GraphSAGE	GAT	LNet	AdaLNet
Public	61.5 ± 0.9	64.6 ± 1.3	69.4 ± 1.3	70.1 ± 0.8	68.1 ± 1.3	64.0 ± 1.9	67.2 ± 1.0	<b>72.2 ± 0.9</b>	66.2 ± 1.9	68.7 ± 1.0
1%	54.3 ± 4.4	56.0 ± 3.4	62.2 ± 2.5	59.4 ± 5.4	58.3 ± 4.0	54.3 ± 3.5	51.0 ± 5.7	46.5 ± 9.3	61.3 ± 3.9	<b>63.3 ± 1.8</b>
0.5%	43.9 ± 4.2	44.3 ± 3.8	53.1 ± 4.4	45.3 ± 6.6	47.7 ± 4.4	41.8 ± 5.0	33.8 ± 7.0	38.2 ± 7.1	53.2 ± 4.0	<b>53.8 ± 4.7</b>
0.3%	38.4 ± 5.8	36.5 ± 5.1	44.3 ± 5.1	39.3 ± 4.9	39.2 ± 6.3	36.0 ± 6.1	25.7 ± 6.1	30.9 ± 6.9	44.4 ± 4.5	<b>46.7 ± 5.6</b>
Pubmed	GCN-FP	GGNN	DCNN	ChebyNet	GCN	MPNN	GraphSAGE	GAT	LNet	AdaLNet
Public	76.0 ± 0.7	75.8 ± 0.9	76.8 ± 0.8	69.8 ± 1.1	77.8 ± 0.7	75.6 ± 1.0	76.8 ± 0.6	76.7 ± 0.5	<b>78.3 ± 0.3</b>	78.1 ± 0.4
0.1%	70.3 ± 4.7	70.4 ± 4.5	73.1 ± 4.7	55.2 ± 6.8	73.0 ± 5.5	67.3 ± 4.7	65.4 ± 6.2	59.6 ± 9.5	<b>73.4 ± 5.1</b>	72.8 ± 4.6
0.05%	63.2 ± 4.7	63.3 ± 4.0	66.7 ± 5.3	48.2 ± 7.4	64.6 ± 7.5	59.6 ± 4.0	53.0 ± 8.0	50.4 ± 9.7	<b>68.8 ± 5.6</b>	66.0 ± 4.5
0.03%	56.2 ± 7.7	55.8 ± 7.7	60.9 ± 8.2	45.3 ± 4.5	57.9 ± 8.1	53.9 ± 6.9	45.4 ± 5.5	50.9 ± 8.8	60.4 ± 8.6	<b>61.0 ± 8.7</b>

Table 1: Test accuracy with 10 runs on citation networks. The public splits in Cora, Citeseer and Pubmed contain 5.2%, 3.6% and 0.3% training examples respectively.

Methods	Validation MAE ( $\times 1.0e^{-3}$ )	Test MAE ( $\times 1.0e^{-3}$ )
GCN-FP [29]	$15.06 \pm 0.04$	$14.80 \pm 0.09$
GGNN [37]	$12.94 \pm 0.05$	$12.67 \pm 0.22$
DCNN [8]	$10.14 \pm 0.05$	$9.97 \pm 0.09$
ChebyNet [7]	$10.24 \pm 0.06$	$10.07 \pm 0.09$
GCN [11]	$11.68 \pm 0.09$	$11.41 \pm 0.10$
MPNN [62]	$11.16 \pm 0.13$	$11.08 \pm 0.11$
GraphSAGE [39]	$13.19 \pm 0.04$	$12.95 \pm 0.11$
GPNN [40]	$12.81 \pm 0.80$	$12.39 \pm 0.77$
GAT [33]	$11.39 \pm 0.09$	$11.02 \pm 0.06$
LanczosNet	<b><math>9.65 \pm 0.19</math></b>	<b><math>9.58 \pm 0.14</math></b>
AdaLanczosNet	$10.10 \pm 0.22$	$9.97 \pm 0.20$

Table 2: Mean absolute error on QM8 dataset.

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Background
- 3 LanczosNet
- 4 AdaLanczosNet
- 5 Experiments
- 6 Conclusions**

# Conclusions

- This method enables more powerful learning on graphs, incorporating multi-scale information as well as learned spectral filters and graph kernels.
- It outperforms many other graph networks on difficult problems