

Lagrange Optimization

Presenter: Zhe Wang

<https://qdata.github.io/deep2Read>

Zhe Wang

201909

primal and dual problem

Primal optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & f_0(x) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & f_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Equivalent form:

$$\min_x \max_{\lambda_i \geq 0} f_0(x) + \lambda_i f_i(x) \quad (2)$$

Dual problem:

$$\max_{\lambda_i \geq 0} \min_x f_0(x) + \lambda_i f_i(x) \quad (3)$$

We have:

$$p^* = \min_x \max_{\lambda_i \geq 0} f_0(x) + \lambda_i f_i(x) \geq \max_{\lambda_i \geq 0} \min_x f_0(x) + \lambda_i f_i(x) = d^*$$

- The dual problem is always convex regardless of the convexity of the primal.
- If the equality holds for (x^*, λ_i^*) , the problem satisfies strong duality, (x^*, λ_i^*) are called saddle points.

Complementary Slackness

Suppose the strong duality holds for (x^*, λ_i^*) , we have:

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^* f_i(x^*) = 0$$

The property is known as complementary slackness.

KKT optimality conditions

Assume all functions f_0, \dots, f_m are differentiable.

Karush-Kuhn-Tucker conditions

Suppose the strong duality holds for (x^*, λ_i^*) , we have the following conditions:

- $f_i(x^*) \leq 0, i = 1, \dots, m$
- $\lambda_i^* \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, m$
- $\lambda_i^* f_i(x^*) = 0, i = 1, \dots, m$
- $\nabla f_0(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^* \nabla f_i(x^*) = 0$

Main conclusion

If $f_i(x)$ are convex, $\hat{x}, \hat{\lambda}$ are points satisfy the KKT conditions, then the strong duality holds, and $(\hat{x}, \hat{\lambda})$ is a pair of saddle point.

Primal

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{p, d \in U} \quad & \langle p, r \rangle - \frac{1}{\eta} D(p \| p_0) - \frac{1}{\alpha} H(d \| d_0) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & E^T d = \gamma P^T p + (1 - \gamma) \nu_0 \\ & \Phi^T d = \Phi^T p \end{aligned}$$

Lagrange form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(p, d; V, \theta, \rho) &= \langle p, r \rangle + \langle V, \gamma P^T p + (1 - \gamma) \nu_0 - E^T d \rangle + \langle \theta, \Phi^T d - \Phi^T p \rangle + \rho (1 - \langle p, \mathbf{1} \rangle) - \frac{1}{\eta} D(p \| p_0) - \frac{1}{\alpha} H(d \| d_0) \\ &= \langle p, r + \gamma P V - \Phi \theta - \rho \mathbf{1} \rangle + \langle d, \Phi \theta - E V \rangle + (1 - \gamma) \langle \nu_0, V \rangle + \rho - \frac{1}{\eta} D(p \| p_0) - \frac{1}{\alpha} H(d \| d_0) \\ &= \langle p, \Delta_{\theta, V} - \rho \mathbf{1} \rangle + \langle d, Q_{\theta} - E V \rangle + (1 - \gamma) \langle \nu_0, V \rangle + \rho - \frac{1}{\eta} D(p \| p_0) - \frac{1}{\alpha} H(d \| d_0), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $Q_{\theta} = \Phi \theta$, $\Delta_{\theta, V} = r + \gamma P V - Q_{\theta}$, the Lagrange form is a concave function of p and d .

Take the derivative w.r.t. ρ and d , we have:

$$\rho^*(x, a) = \rho_0(x, a) \exp^{\eta(\Delta_{\theta, V}(x, a) - \rho)}$$

$$\pi_d^*(x, a) = \pi_0(x, a) \exp^{\alpha(Q_{\theta}(x, a) - V(x))}$$

$$\rho^* = \log\left(\sum_{x, a} \rho_0(x, a) e^{\eta \Delta_{\theta, V}(x, a)}\right)$$

Take the optimal values into the Lagrangian, we have:

$$L(\rho^*, d^*; V_{\theta}, \theta, \rho^*) = (1 - \gamma) \langle \nu_0, V \rangle + \frac{1}{\eta} \log\left(\sum_{x, a} \rho_0(x, a) e^{\eta \Delta_{\theta, V}(x, a)}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(p, d; V, \theta, \rho) &= \langle p, r \rangle + \langle V, \gamma P^T p + (1 - \gamma) \nu_0 - E^T d \rangle + \langle \theta, \Phi^T d - \Phi^T p \rangle + \rho(1 - \langle p, \mathbf{1} \rangle) - \frac{1}{\eta} D(p \| p_0) - \frac{1}{\alpha} H(d \| d_0) \\ &= \langle p, r + \gamma P V - \Phi \theta - \rho \mathbf{1} \rangle + \langle d, \Phi \theta - E V \rangle + (1 - \gamma) \langle \nu_0, V \rangle + \rho - \frac{1}{\eta} D(p \| p_0) - \frac{1}{\alpha} H(d \| d_0) \\ &= \langle p, \Delta_{\theta, V} - \rho \mathbf{1} \rangle + \langle d, Q_{\theta} - E V \rangle + (1 - \gamma) \langle \nu_0, V \rangle + \rho - \frac{1}{\eta} D(p \| p_0) - \frac{1}{\alpha} H(d \| d_0), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Primal

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{p, d \in U} \quad & \langle p, r \rangle - \frac{1}{\eta} D(p \| p_0) - \frac{1}{\alpha} H(d \| d_0) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & E^T d = \gamma P^T p + (1 - \gamma) \nu_0 \\ & \Phi^T d = \Phi^T p \end{aligned}$$

Dual form:

Define the Q-function $Q_\theta = \Phi\theta$, the value function:

$$V_\theta(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \log\left(\sum_a \pi_i(x, a) e^{a\theta(x, a)}\right),$$

and the Bellman error function $\Delta_\theta = r + \gamma P V_\theta - Q_\theta$. Then the optimal solution for the primal takes the form:

$$p^*(x, a) \propto p_0(x, a) e^{\eta \Delta_{\theta^*}(x, a)}$$

$$\pi_{d^*}(a|x) = \pi_0(a|x) e^{\alpha(Q_{\theta^*}(x, a) - V_{\theta^*}(x))}$$

where θ^* is the minimizer of the convex function.

$$\mathcal{G}(\theta) = \frac{1}{\eta} \log\left(\sum_{x,a} p_0(x,a) e^{\eta \Delta_\theta(x,a)}\right) + (1 - \gamma) \langle \nu_0, V_\theta \rangle$$

By analogy with the classic logistic loss, the loss function is called logistic Bellman error, its solutions Q_θ and V_θ the logistic value functions.

Two advantages:

- The \mathcal{G} is convex.
- The \mathcal{G} satisfies $\|\nabla_Q \mathcal{G}(Q)\|_1 \leq 2$

Q-REPS: a mirror-descent algorithm.

Suppose the feasible region is M , then the iterative optimization algorithm is :

$$(p_{k+1}, d_{k+1}) = \arg \max_{p, d \in M} \langle p, r \rangle - \frac{1}{\eta} D(p || p_K) - \frac{1}{\alpha} H(d || d_k)$$

Implementing requires the minimum θ_k^* of the logistic Bellman error function

$$\mathcal{G}(\theta) = \frac{1}{\eta} \log \left(\sum_{x,a} p_k(x, a) e^{\eta \Delta_{\theta}(x,a)} \right) + (1 - \gamma) \langle \nu_0, V_{\theta} \rangle$$

In practice, exact minimization can be often infeasible due to the lack of knowledge of the transition function P and limited access to computation and data.

To use the Q-REPS, the optimization should directly work with the sample transitions obtained through interaction with the env.

In each epoch k , we execute policy π_k and obtain a batch of N sample transitions $\{\epsilon_{k,n}\}_{n=1}^N$, with $\epsilon_{k,n} = (X_{k,n}, A_{k,n}, X'_{k,n})$ drawn from the occupancy measure p_k induced by π_k .

Furthermore, defining the empirical Bellman error for any (x, a, x') as:

$$\hat{\Delta}_\theta(x, a, x') = r(x, a) + \gamma V_\theta(x') - Q_\theta(x, a)$$

Then the empirical logistic Bellman error (ELBE) is defined as:

$$\hat{G}_k(\theta) = \frac{1}{\eta} \log\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e^{\eta \hat{\Delta}_\theta(\epsilon_{k,n})}\right) + (1 - \gamma) \langle \nu_0, V_\theta \rangle$$

Variational method can be used to transform the distribution based target to sample based target.

Let D_N be the set of all probability distributions over $[N]$ and define

$$S_k(\theta, z) = \sum_z z(n) (\hat{\Delta}_\theta(\epsilon_{k,n}) - \frac{1}{\eta} \log(Nz(n))) + (1 - \gamma) \langle \nu_0, V_\theta \rangle$$

for each $z \in D_N$. we have :

$$\min_{\theta} \hat{G}_k(\theta) = \min_{\theta} \max_{z \in D_N} S_k(\theta, z)$$

in each round $\tau = 1, 2, \dots, T$, the sampler proposes a distribution $z_{k,\tau} \in D_N$ over sample transtions and the learner updates the parameters $\theta_{K,T}$

To optimize the θ ,

- sample an index l from the distribution $z_{k,\tau}$
- let $(X, A, X') = (X_{k,l}, A_{k,l}, X_{k,l})$
- sample a state \bar{X} and two actions A', \bar{A}

then, $\hat{g}_{k,t}(\theta) = \gamma\phi(X', A') - \phi(X, A) + (1 - \gamma)\phi(\bar{X}, \bar{A})$ is an unbiased estimation for the $\frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta}$. the introduced variable Z can also be optimized through gradient based method.